REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicants note with appreciation that the Examiner has allowed claims 32 and 36 in the non-final Office Action dated January 5, 2007 ("Office Action"). This Amendment modifies the remaining claims to include certain limitations from the allowed claims to thereby render the remaining claims allowable. This amendment also provides the proper listing of claims as required in the notice of non-compliant amendment dated May 1, 2007.

Before this Amendment, claims 1-3, 6-24, 27, 28, 30-34 and 36-39 were present for examination. Claims 1, 3, 6, 8-12, 14, 16, 17, 23, 27, 33, 34, and 37-39 are amended. Claims 24, 28, 30, and 31 are canceled, while no new claims are added. Therefore, claims 1-3, 6-23, 27, 32-34, and 36-39 are now present for examination, and claims 1, 9, 10, 14, 17, 32, 33, 36, 37, and 38 the independent claims. No new matter is presented with the amendments, as support for the amendments is found in the Specification.

The Office Action rejected each of the claims under 35 USC §102(e) or §103(a) as unpatentable over various combinations U.S. Patent No. 6,799,049 to Zellner et al., U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. 2005/0014500 to Muhonen et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,912,395 to Benes et al., and U.S. Patent No. 4,751,512 to Longaker. The Office Action rejected claim 11 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite.

However, in allowing claims 32 and 36, the Office Action noted that "the prior art of record does not teach the above steps in combination with identifying and determining errors related to the location request and storing and retrieving data relating to the errors and corrective action based on the identified mobile station type from a database" (Office Action, p. 11). Therefore, certain allowable limitations from claims 32 and 36 are included in the remaining independent claims to thereby render these claims, and their dependents, allowable. The rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, is addressed as well. In light of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully request that the rejections be withdrawn.

Conclusion

Applicants believe all claims now pending in this Application are in condition for allowance. Accordingly, reconsideration and allowance of this Application is earnestly solicited. Should any issues remain unresolved, the Examiner is encouraged to telephone the undersigned at the number provided below.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees that may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 17-0026.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 30 May 2007 By: /Andrea L. Mays/

Andrea L. Mays Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 43,721

QUALCOMM Incorporated 5775 Morehouse Drive San Diego, California 92121-2779 Telephone: (858) 651-8546 Facsimile: (858) 658-2502

61048568v1